

## United States Patent and Trademark Office

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

| APPLICATION NO.                        |        | FILING DATE | FIRST NAMED INVENTOR | ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.     | CONFIRMATION NO. |  |
|--|--------|-------------|----------------------|-------------------------|------------------|--|
| 10/659,401                             |        | 09/11/2003  | Masahiro Totsu       | 114184                  | 3757             |  |
| 25944                                  | 7590   | 03/02/2005  |                      | EXAMINER                |                  |  |
| OLIFF & I                              | BERRID | GE, PLC     | LE, DA               | LE, DANG D              |                  |  |
| P.O. BOX 19928<br>ALEXANDRIA, VA 22320 |        |             |                      | ART UNIT                | PAPER NUMBER     |  |
|  |        |             |                      | 2834                    |                  |  |
|  |        |             |                      | DATE MAILED: 03/02/2005 |                  |  |

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

|   |   | <u> </u>   |                       |  |  |  |
|---|---|--|-----------------------|--|--|--|
|   | Application No.   | Applicant(s)   |                       |  |  |  |
| Advisory Action   | 10/659,401  | TOTSU ET AL.   |                       |  |  |  |
| Before the Filing of an Appeal Brief  | Examiner  | Art Unit   |                       |  |  |  |
|   | Dang D. Le  | 2834   |                       |  |  |  |
| The MAILING DATE of this communication appe   | ars on the cover sheet with the o   | correspondence add                                       | ress                  |  |  |  |
| THE REPLY FILED <u>15 February 2005</u> FAILS TO PLACE THIS   | APPLICATION IN CONDITION FO   | OR ALLOWANCE.  |                       |  |  |  |
| <ol> <li>The reply was filed after a final rejection, but prior to filing applicant must timely file one of the following replies: (1) application in condition for allowance; (2) a Notice of Application (RCE) in compliance time periods:</li> <li>The period for reply expires 3 months from the mailing date of</li> </ol>   | an amendment, affidavit, or other<br>peal (with appeal fee) in compliance<br>with 37 CFR 1.114. The reply mu              | evidence, which plac<br>e with 37 CFR 41.31;             | es the<br>or (3) a    |  |  |  |
| b) The period for reply expires on: (1) the mailing date of this Adv event, however, will the statutory period for reply expire later th  | isory Action, or (2) the date set forth in th<br>an SIX MONTHS from the mailing date o                                    | f the final rejection.                                   |                       |  |  |  |
| Examiner Note: If box 1 is checked, check either box (a) or (b)  MONTHS OF THE FINAL REJECTION. See MPEP 706.07(f  Extensions of time may be obtained under 37 CEP 1.136(a). The date on  | ).  |  |                       |  |  |  |
| Extensions of time may be obtained under 37 CFR 1.136(a). The date on which the petition under 37 CFR 1.136(a) and the appropriate extension fee have been filed is the date for purposes of determining the period of extension and the corresponding amount of the fee. The appropriate extension fee under 37 CFR 1.17(a) is calculated from: (1) the expiration date of the shortened statutory period for reply originally set in the final Office action; or (2) as set forth in (b) above, if checked. Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing date of the final rejection, even if timely filed, may reduce any example patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b). |   |  |                       |  |  |  |
| NOTICE OF APPEAL  2. The reply was filed after the date of filing a Notice of Appeal, but prior to the date of filing an appeal brief. The Notice of Appeal was filed on A brief in compliance with 37 CFR 41.37 must be filed within two months of the date of filing the Notice of Appeal (37 CFR 41.37(a)), or any extension thereof (37 CFR 41.37(e)), to avoid dismissal of the appeal. Since a Notice of Appeal has been filed, any reply must be filed within the time period set forth in 37 CFR 41.37(a).  |   |  |                       |  |  |  |
| <u>AMENDMENTS</u><br>3.   | but prior to the date of filing a brid  | f will not be entered                                    | hocauca               |  |  |  |
| (a) ☐ They raise new issues that would require further co (b) ☐ They raise the issue of new matter (see NOTE belo (c) ☐ They are not deemed to place the application in be appeal; and/or (d) ☐ They present additional claims without canceling a NOTE: the amendment requires further considera   | ensideration and/or search (see NC<br>ow);<br>tter form for appeal by materially re<br>corresponding number of finally re | oTE below);<br>educing or simplifying<br>ejected claims. |                       |  |  |  |
| 4. The amendments are not in compliance with 37 CFR 1.  |   |  | (PTOL-324).           |  |  |  |
| 5. Applicant's reply has overcome the following rejection(s   | •   |  |                       |  |  |  |
| <ol> <li>Newly proposed or amended claim(s) would be a<br/>the non-allowable claim(s).</li> </ol>   | •   | •  | -                     |  |  |  |
| 7.  For purposes of appeal, the proposed amendment(s): a) how the new or amended claims would be rejected is profile the status of the claim(s) is (or will be) as follows: Claim(s) allowed: <u>54-58 and 90-97</u> . Claim(s) objected to: <u>14-16,38-43,46,77-79 and 84-89</u> . Claim(s) rejected: <u>1,3-5,7,8,11,13,24-29,31,32,35,37,44</u> .   | vided below or appended.  | vill be entered and an                                   | explanation of        |  |  |  |
| Claim(s) withdrawn from consideration:  AFFIDAVIT OR OTHER EVIDENCE   |   |  |                       |  |  |  |
| <ol> <li>The affidavit or other evidence filed after a final action, b because applicant failed to provide a showing of good ar and was not earlier presented. See 37 CFR 1.116(e).</li> </ol>  |   |  |                       |  |  |  |
| 9. The affidavit or other evidence filed after the date of filing<br>entered because the affidavit or other evidence failed to<br>showing a good and sufficient reasons why it is necessar  | overcome <u>all</u> rejections under apper<br>ry and was not earlier presented.   | eal and/or appellant fa<br>See 37 CFR 41.33(d)(          | ils to provide a (1). |  |  |  |
| IO. ☐ The affidavit or other evidence is entered. An explanation of the status of the claims after entry is below or attached. REQUEST FOR RECONSIDERATION/OTHER  |   |  |                       |  |  |  |
| 11. The request for reconsideration has been considered by  | ut does NOT place the application   | in condition for allowa                                  | ance because:         |  |  |  |
| 12. Note the attached Information Disclosure Statement(s).  13. Other: DANG LE  PRIMARY EXAMINER  | (PTO/SB/08 or PTO-1449) Paper   | No(s)  |                       |  |  |  |
|   |   |  |                       |  |  |  |